ABSTRACT
This article highlights a methodology for developing teaching guidelines for Malay language teachers in Malaysia. The methodology emphasises three crucial phases: (1) the needs analysis phase, (2) the design and development phase, and (3) the usability evaluation phase. The implications of this methodology are that (1) the guidelines can contribute to the formulation of educational policies, (2) the guidelines can facilitate the design and development of products based on expert consensus, and (3) the usability of existing guidelines can be assessed and updated for implementation. Generally, this methodology does the following: (1) facilitates the process of developing instructional materials for language teaching, (2) assists other researchers in developing new products, such as modules, frameworks, and language education models, and (3) helps preserve culture and social values within communities through the incorporation of novels into teaching.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Issues in teaching novels are persistently discussed among literary activists. Most educators use textbooks and existing references sold in the market as the primary source of teaching. Therefore, it is important to develop guidelines on teaching novels that complies with the Curriculum and Assessment Standard Document (DSKP) (KPM, 2017) that has been set by the Ministry of Education. This is important to avoid any teaching information disputes. In Malaysia, DSKP is a teaching reference that has been set by the Ministry of Education. The guidelines for teaching must be developed in compliance with the principles and information contained in the DSKP.

This guideline was derived from modified and developed based on the Design and Development Research (Saedah Siraj, et. al, 2021) in the Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology (Richey & Klein, 2014). Figure 1 shows the graphical summary of the three main phases of guideline development. Before the development of guidelines, there are several protocols to be followed, as shown in Figure 2.
Figure 1. Three main phases of guideline development.
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Figure 2. Protocols before the development of the guidelines
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2. METHOD DETAILS
Need Analysis Phase
This phase is an important step in ensuring there is an urgent need to develop guidelines. In addition, this phase aims to identify and evaluate the appropriateness or necessity of the researched matter (Saedah Siraj, et. al, 2021). Phase 1 of this research was divided into 2 parts: (1) the questionnaire survey method (2) the expert interview method.

The questionnaire survey method aims to identify the level of need from the point of view of the implementors. They were teachers, either option teachers, non-option teachers, new teachers, or experienced teachers, who implemented this protocol in teaching the Malay language. A set of descriptive data obtained through quantitative methods was related to trends, attitudes and opinions that represented the population (McKilip,1987). Thus, the researcher analysed the data quantitatively to find out the respondents' opinions related to the need to develop guidelines for teaching novels.

Meanwhile, the expert interview involved five experts, consisting of one language lecturer, one official from the Ministry of Education, Malaysia, and three SITC+ language expert teachers. This section aims to gather crucial information and viewpoints appropriately from the expert groups to design and develop guidelines for teaching novels according to the Malaysian curriculum.

It was also expected that some results would be achieved in this phase. In this procedure, problems faced by the targeted respondents would be determined and problem-solving processes would be carried out. Table 1 shows the procedures followed to obtain data.

Table 1. Data collection procedures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Officers Involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument Development</td>
<td>The instruments were developed based on previous studies.</td>
<td>5-point Likert scale questionnaire 10 items – Issues found in the guidelines on teaching novels (Mohd Ridhuan &amp; Nurulrabihah, 2020) 10 items – Guideline requirements (Noor Azizah, 2020)</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiations were carried out between the owners and Sultan Idris Education University.</td>
<td>An agreement between the owners and Sultan Idris Education University was written.</td>
<td>A meeting session was held for negotiations and agreement between the owners and Sultan Idris Education University.</td>
<td>Legal officers at the Sultan Idris Education University.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agreement was reviewed by the University Legislative Board.</td>
<td>The consultations and agreements were reviewed and changed by the University Legislative Board.</td>
<td>All documentations for consultations and agreements were subject to a review process conducted by the University Legislative Board.</td>
<td>Legal officers at the Sultan Idris Education University.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for release of permission</td>
<td>Documentation of agreement with Ministry of Education</td>
<td>Submit the document. Authorising the release of the agreement.</td>
<td>Officers at the Ministry of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for release of permission</td>
<td>Documentation of agreement with State Education Department.</td>
<td>Submit the document. Authorising the release of the agreement.</td>
<td>Officers at the State Education Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for release of permission</td>
<td>Documentation of agreement with selected secondary school and selected respondents</td>
<td>Agreement. (owner, principal, and teachers)</td>
<td>Principal Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot Test (questionnaire)</td>
<td>Instrument Validity</td>
<td>Validity - The instrument was reviewed and validated by the experts.</td>
<td>Content validity, Language validity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument Reliability</td>
<td>Reliability – Cronbach alpha &gt; 0.85</td>
<td>SPSS Analysis ver. 26.0 Cronbach alpha</td>
<td>Implementor – Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview Instrument [3]</td>
<td>Instrument Validity</td>
<td>Validity - The instrument was reviewed and validated by the experts.</td>
<td>Content validity, Language validity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Study</td>
<td>Application for release of permission</td>
<td>Documentation of agreement with selected secondary school administrators and selected respondents</td>
<td>Agreement (owner, principal and teachers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribute questionnaires</td>
<td>Frequency and mean data. High mean is between 4.01 – 5.00 (Syazwani, 2020 &amp; Muhammad Nidzam, 2016).</td>
<td>SPSS Analysis ver. 26.0 Frequency and mean data</td>
<td>Implementor – Teachers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Design and Development Phase
The researcher has divided this phase into two parts: (1) design and (2) development. Design refers to the draft development of the main components: (1) a daily lesson plan, (2) a teacher's guide and (3) student assessment guide. These three components are included in the guidelines for teaching novels. The preparation of the main draft of these guidelines involved the analysis of documents, such as novels and documented curricula from the Ministry of Education Malaysia. Then, this draft was submitted to the guideline development section.

The Fuzzy Delphi method (FDM) was applied by the researcher in the development process. A group of experts went through the drafted guidelines that had been designed. They evaluated, agreed upon, confirmed and rejected, as well as added the necessary elements to the contents. The data from the experts were obtained using the FDM based on the seven-point Likert scale questionnaire. Their consensus data were analysed using the FDM template ver. 3.0. These guidelines were developed after all experts agreed to accept the draft. A great emphasis was placed on the selection of experts because the chosen experts had a great impact on the accuracy of the research context. Lecturers who have served between five and ten years can be categorised as experts as they have undergone continuous teaching and administrative management (Berliner, 2004). In the Delphi technique, experts are knowledgeable and skilled in a particular field (Dalkey, 1972). Generally, it is agreed that the acceptable number of experts in a Fuzzy Delphi study is 10 to 15 to achieve high uniformity (Adler & Ziglio, 1996) Thus, this study involved fifteen expert panels: seven language lecturers, three language experts from the District Education Office (SITC+) and five Malay Language option teachers who have served for 15 years or more and also the implementing group. Table 2 shows the steps done in this phase. The guidelines developed were evaluated to be used by the implementing group in the following phase.

Table 2. Steps done in design and development phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Officers Involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Development of Guidelines Draft</td>
<td>Document Analysis (novels for form 1 to form 3 students) and documented curricula from the Ministry of Education Malaysia.</td>
<td>Guidelines draft</td>
<td>Researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Development of the Instruments</td>
<td>The instruments were developed based on previous studies</td>
<td>seven-point Likert scale questionnaire (Fuzzy Delphi method)</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The instrument validity was</td>
<td>Validity - The instrument was</td>
<td>Content validity, Language validity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Usability Evaluation Phase
The main purpose of this phase is to get feedback on how far these guidelines help teachers in teaching novels. The sample consisted of eight Malay language teachers. The selected teachers were option and non-option teachers. Their teaching experience was not emphasised because these teaching guidelines help them in their teaching task.

The study in this phase involved a semi-structured interview instrument that was adapted and modified based on the literature review and the CIPP assessment model that has been developed by Stufflebeam et al. in 1971. The CIPP model is a comprehensive framework to assist in formative or summative assessment. The CIPP model consists of four dimensions: (1) context evaluation, (2) input evaluation, (3) process evaluation and (4) product evaluation (Stufflebeam, 1971). The instrument in this phase was reviewed by three expert panels. Interview validity involves internal validity which includes criterion validity and cross checking. Table 3 shows the steps involved in usability evaluation phase.
Table 3. Steps involved in usability evaluation phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Officers Involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument Development</td>
<td>Semi structured Interview Instrument review</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument Validity</td>
<td>Validity - The instrument was reviewed and validated by experts.</td>
<td>Content validity, Language validity.</td>
<td>Experts - Lecturers in the field of language and literature with 10 years of experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Study</td>
<td>Application for release of permission</td>
<td>Documentation agreement with selected expert.</td>
<td>Principal, and Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Agreement (owner, principal, and teachers)</td>
<td>Teachers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sampling

Table 4 below shows the sampling technique used in the three phases of the study.

Table 4. Sampling technique used for the three phases of the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase, Steps, phase analysis</th>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need analysis (pilot test)</td>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>30 respondents (implementor-teachers)</td>
<td>Random sampling</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need analysis</td>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>360 respondents [15] from 5500 population (implementor-teachers)</td>
<td>Stratified random sampling</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 experts</td>
<td>Purposive sampling</td>
<td>Semi-structured interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. CONCLUSION
This paper presents and shares the three phases of the methodology protocol for the guidelines on teaching novels. This protocol involves three core phases of designing and developing the guidelines. In addition, five steps of sampling are highlighted to ensure the owner understands how this product can be used for research purposes. The procedures and protocol designed can be used by other researchers to develop other teaching materials.

Method validation
Results and Discussion are not part of a methods article.

Limitations
This study involves respondent (teachers) who teach in government secondary schools in Malaysia only.
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